Calibration

Testbeam
$$E(e) = e$$

$$E(pi) = e f0 + h(1-f0)$$

$$pi/e=f0 + h/e(1-f0)$$

$$pi/e>1 f0->1 (E->infinity)$$

$$if e/h \sim 1.4 f0\sim1/2 (low energy)$$

$$pi/e\sim0.33+0.66(1/1.4)$$

$$\sim 0.8$$

$$(ECAL has bigger pi/h - see NIM paper)$$

$$Min Bias < Pt>\sim 0.6 GeV -> we need low energy calibration$$

$$-> more testbeam$$

$$P\sim0.6 GeV HB$$

$$P<\sim6.0 GeV HC$$

$$P<\sim60 GeV HF$$

$$-> pi/e effects are large - must correct$$

$$scalar ET min bias$$

$$< Pt>\sim 0.6 GeV$$

$$rho \sim 6 +- particles/rapidity$$

$$-> 9 pi/rapidity$$

$$scalar_ET_minbais_eta<5 = 0.6*11*9=54 GeV$$

$$for 20 mion bias -> 1080 GeV$$

$$sigma \sim 100\% * sqrt(scalar_et) \sim 30 GeV$$

$$20\% pi/e -> 200 GeV - dominates$$

Tower Occupancy

 $HCAL \sim 0.087x1/72 \rightarrow 11x72 = 800 \text{ cells/rapidity}$

rho ~ 9 pi/rapidity x 20 min bias -> 180 -> 120 are pi+-

-> ~7 HCAL cells/pi+-

ECAL is 25 times finer grained and only has pi_0 (toughly)

- -> ~` 340 cells ECAL/pi_0
- -> ECAL is sparse even at the highest luminosity
- -> use ECAL to "calibrate"

presently ECAL set linear for e/gamma

HCAL set for 300 geV pi in the HCAL only (test beam) -> large minbias mismeasure

calib scheme

a) look for ET>ET0 in ECAL tower (ET0 ~` 0.5 GeV? calculate rms in 5x5 (5x5 occupancy ~0.003x25=0.075) if "narrow", take 5x5 ECAL = E at Tower if "wide" take 5x5 ECAL+HCAL zero energy in 5x5 ECAL plus HCAL b) look for ET>ET1 in HCAL tower (0.5 GeV) there is ~ no energy in ECAL from a) sum HCAL in 3x3 assing E to central tower

result

this plan is to assign pi0,pi+- angles using ecal towers if int in ECAL. Energy of pi0 from ECAL. Energy of pi+- using 2 different effective e/h - interacting in Ecal, and no ECAL interactions.